

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,056	07/10/2006	Woo Sung Lee	56587.24	5348
27128 7590 08/29/2008 HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS LLP			EXAMINER	
720 OLIVE STREET			UBER, NATHAN C	
SUITE 2400 ST. LOUIS, MO 63101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1. 10010, 110 00101		3622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

pto-sl@huschblackwell.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/597,056	LEE, WOO SUNG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NATHAN C. UBER	3622	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day a application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an a application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	mendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final i	
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MOI 	NTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	(BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petils have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the cc under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutor set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	orresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee y period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	OFD 44 07
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 C filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (31 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time peaments. 	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the d (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for application in patter form for application in better form for application.	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	d Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submit 	itted in a concrete timely filed amendment concelling the
non-allowable claim(s).	
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be enhow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or a The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 21-35.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of App entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> reje showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not ex- 	ections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place.	•
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place.	be the application in condition for allowance because.
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Part 3. Other:	iper No(s)
	r Duran/ y Examiner, Art Unit 3622

The newly added feature requiring the predicted expense to be used as the account limit was not in the prior versions of the claims and will require further search.